Prevalence of metabolic syndrome in obese medical students—a case control study
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Abstract

Introduction: The Metabolic Syndrome is a constellation of risk factors predictive of future Cardio Vascular Accidents (CVA) and Type 2 Diabetes Mellitus (T2DM) in adults. Recently several studies revealed that metabolic syndrome is present in younger populations, suggesting that the origin of chronic metabolic diseases manifest relatively early in life.

Objectives: To assess the risk of metabolic syndrome in young obese students by assessing physical and biochemical parameters.

Materials and Method: This study comprised of students studying MBBS at Guntur Medical College. 30 Males and 31 Females between 18 to 22 years, with increased BMI were considered as cases and age matched 30 Male and 34 Female students with normal BMI were considered controls. Physical Parameters like Weight, Height, Blood Pressure, Waist Circumference and Biochemical parameters like Fasting Plasma Glucose and Lipid profile were analyzed for risk assessment of metabolic syndrome.

Results: It was observed that 23.33% of male cases and 19.35% of female cases had Metabolic Syndrome.

Conclusion: In our study, young obese subjects were more prone to Metabolic Syndrome. The metabolic syndrome and its future consequences, including CVA and T2DM, continue to increase until we find ways to prevent obesity. This can be achieved through adopting the required healthy life style changes.
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Introduction

The Metabolic Syndrome (MetS) is a constellation of risk factors predictive of future cardiovascular disease (CVD) and type 2 diabetes mellitus (T2DM) in adults.¹ ² Recently several studies³ ⁴ ⁵ found that the metabolic syndrome is prevalent in younger populations, suggesting the origin of chronic metabolic diseases manifesting relatively early in life. Although the underlying pathophysiology of Met S is unclear, insulin resistance is thought to be a central abnormality in the pathogenesis of the disorder.⁶ It is observed that overweight youth with the metabolic syndrome are significantly more insulin resistant when compared with those not having Met S.⁷ The increasing prevalence of obesity, metabolic syndrome and insulin resistance in the young population is a global health issue, especially given that these conditions in youth may be antecedents to adult disease.⁸ ⁹ Given the epidemic of pediatric obesity and emergence of T2DM among adolescents, it shouldn’t be surprising that the Met S has been reported to exist in adolescents and youth. Estimated prevalence rates range from 5% to 39% in the general population of youth and overweight adolescents, respectively.¹⁰ ¹¹ Current literature supports the notion that the presence of the Met S in youth may be an important predictor of future risk for T2DM and CVD.¹² So a study was taken up to identify the prevalence of Met S in young overweight students.

Materials and Method

The prospective study was carried out in Guntur Medical College. After obtaining approval from Institutional Ethical Committee, informed consent was taken from the subjects included in the study. This study was taken up from February 2011 to September 2011.

This study comprised of students studying MBBS at Guntur Medical College. 30 Males and 31 Females obese students between 18 to 22 years, were considered as cases and age matched 30 Male and 34 Female non obese students were considered controls. Physical Parameters like Blood Pressure, Waist Circumference and Chemical parameters like Fasting Plasma Glucose and Lipid profile were analyzed.

Inclusion Criteria: Cases: Students between 18 to 22 years with BMI ≥30
Controls: Students between 18 to 22 years with BMI between 18 to 25

Exclusion Criteria: Students with known endocrine disorders or receiving any medications that may alter lipid levels, and Students with abdominal mass or ascites were excluded.

IDF 2005 guidelines to confirm Met S: Central adiposity (Waist circumference ≥ 90 cm in males and ≥ 80 cm in females) plus two or more of the following four factors:¹³
1. Serum Triglycerides: ≥150mg/dl
2. Serum HDL cholesterol: <40 mg/dl in men and <50 mg/dl in women
3. Blood pressure: Systolic Blood Pressure ≥ 130 mmHg or Diastolic Blood Pressure ≥85 mmHg and
4. Fasting plasma glucose concentration ≥100 mg/dl.

**Sample Collection:** Fasting venous blood samples were collected in respective vacutainers. The blood was allowed to clot and the specimens were centrifuged to separate the serum from the cells

**Methods of Estimation:** BMI was calculated by measuring the weight in kgs and height in meters and using the formula BMI= weight /height^2

Waist circumference was measured at the level of the umbilicus using a plastic anthropometric tape.

Blood Pressure was measured with a mercury sphygmomanometer by auscultatory method following the American Heart Association protocol.(12)

Fasting Plasma Glucose: Glucose Oxidase – Peroxidase method

Serum Triglycerides: Glycerol -3 – Phosphate Oxidase method

Serum HDL Cholesterol: Phosphotungstic Acid Method

**Statistical Analysis:** Data was analyzed as mean and standard deviation (mean ± SD). Mean and standard deviations were compared between control and case groups by unpaired student t’ test. Descriptive statistical analysis was carried out in the present study by using SPSS package version 14.

**Results**

Body Mass Index (BMI) in male controls was 22.67 ± 1.12 compared to male cases with 33.72 ± 2.01 displaying highly Significant statistical difference (p < 0.001). Body Mass Index (BMI) in female controls was 21.02 ± 1.86 compared to female cases with 32.19 ± 1.07 displaying highly Significant statistical difference (p < 0.001). (Table 1 & 2, Fig. 1 & 3)

Waist Circumference (WC) in male controls was 80.17 ±5.46 compared to male cases with 97 ±6.86 displaying highly Significant statistical difference (p < 0.001). Waist Circumference (WC) in female controls was 72.71 ±5.01 compared to female cases with 86.32 ±6.16 displaying highly Significant statistical difference (p < 0.001). (Table 1 & 2, Fig. 1 & 3)

Systolic Blood Pressure (SBP) in male controls was 117.53 ±6.00 compared to male cases with 123.43 ±7.27 displaying highly Significant statistical difference (p<0.005). Diastolic Blood Pressure (DBP) in male controls was 76.93 ±4.66 compared to male cases with 81.33 ±7.83 displaying Significant statistical difference (p < 0.01). Systolic Blood Pressure (SBP) in female controls was 107.65 ±9.43 compared to female cases with 115.1 ±11.35 displaying Significant statistical difference (p < 0.01). Diastolic Blood Pressure (DBP) in female controls was 67 ±6.39 compared to female cases with 73.94 ±8.21 displaying highly Significant statistical difference (p < 0.001) (Table 1 & 2, Fig 1 & 3).

Fasting Plasma Glucose (FPG) in male controls was 75.73±5.2 compared to male cases with 80.2 ±6.86 displaying Significant statistical difference (p < 0.01). FPG in female controls was 75.21 ±5.20 compared to female cases with 77.16 ±5.78. The increase in FPG in females is not Significant (p < 0.1). (Table 1 & 2, Fig. 2 & 4)

Serum Triglycerides (TG) in male controls was 86.3 ±32.85 compared to male cases with 105.6 ±31.79 displaying Significant statistical difference (p <0.05). TG in female controls was 81.12 ±27.09 compared to female cases with 81.84 ±31.54. The increase in TG in female is not Significant (p <0.1). (Table 1 & 2, Fig. 2 & 4)

Serum High Density Lipoprotein Cholesterol (HDLC) in male controls was 49.5 ±10.19 compared to male cases with 38.9 ±8.91 displaying highly Significant statistical difference (p < 0.001). HDLC in female controls was 52.53 ±8.88 compared to female cases with 41.84 ±7.46 displaying highly Significant statistical difference (p < 0.001). (Table 1 & 2, Fig. 2 & 4)

The overall risk analysis for Metabolic Syndrome in the 30 (Thirty) male cases, 7 (Seven) were with 3 risk factors which represents 23.33% of male cases having Metabolic Syndrome. But risk analysis for Metabolic Syndrome in the 30 (Thirty) Control male group, No single subject with 3 risk factors was detected.

Whereas the overall risk analysis for Metabolic Syndrome in the 31 (Thirty One) female cases, 6 (Six) were with 3 risk factors which represents 19.35% of female cases having Metabolic Syndrome. But risk analysis for Metabolic Syndrome in the 34 (Thirty Four) Control female group, No single subject with 3 risk factors was detected.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Controls Mean ± SD</th>
<th>Cases Mean ±SD</th>
<th>p Value</th>
<th>Significance</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BMI</td>
<td>22.67 ± 1.12</td>
<td>33.72 ± 2.01</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
<td>Highly Significant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WC</td>
<td>80.17 ± 5.46</td>
<td>97 ± 6.86</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
<td>Highly Significant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SBP</td>
<td>117.53 ± 6</td>
<td>123.43 ± 7.27</td>
<td>0.0011</td>
<td>Very Significant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DBP</td>
<td>76.93 ± 4.66</td>
<td>81.33 ± 7.83</td>
<td>0.0105</td>
<td>Significant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FPG</td>
<td>75.73 ± 5.2</td>
<td>80.2 ± 6.86</td>
<td>0.0062</td>
<td>Significant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TG</td>
<td>86.3 ± 32.85</td>
<td>105.6 ± 31.79</td>
<td>0.0243</td>
<td>Significant</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 2: Comparison of Physical and Biochemical Parameters in Female Cases and Controls

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Controls</th>
<th>Cases</th>
<th>p Value</th>
<th>Significance</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mean ± SD</td>
<td>Mean ± SD</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI</td>
<td>21.02 ± 1.86</td>
<td>32.19 ± 1.07</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
<td>Highly Significant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WC</td>
<td>72.71 ± 5.01</td>
<td>86.32 ± 6.16</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
<td>Highly Significant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SBP</td>
<td>107.65 ± 9.43</td>
<td>115.1 ± 11.34</td>
<td>0.0053</td>
<td>Very Significant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DBP</td>
<td>67 ± 6.39</td>
<td>73.94 ± 8.21</td>
<td>0.0003</td>
<td>Highly Significant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FPG</td>
<td>75.21 ± 5.2</td>
<td>77.16 ± 5.78</td>
<td>0.1561</td>
<td>Not Significant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TG</td>
<td>81.12 ± 27.09</td>
<td>81.84 ± 31.54</td>
<td>0.9214</td>
<td>Not Significant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HDL-C</td>
<td>52.53 ± 8.88</td>
<td>41.84 ± 7.46</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
<td>Highly Significant</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Discussion

Multiple set of risk factors that commonly appear together, form as the ‘Metabolic Syndrome’. This ‘cluster’ of abnormal metabolic activities that occur in an individual appear to confer a substantial additional risk for CVA and T2DM (13,14). There is an overwhelming moral, medical and economic imperative for early identification of individuals with metabolic syndrome because it is associated with double the risk of the cardiovascular accidents and five times increased risk for Type 2 Diabetes mellitus (T2DM). (15)

So in this study we intended to investigate and identify the components of metabolic syndrome among young obese subjects.

A significant prevalence of MetS of about 23.33% in males and 19.35% in female obese students was observed in the present study, the results in accordance with the studies of Du Bose et al and Goodman et al. (8,9). Our study is also in accordance with studies of Lara Nasreddine (16) and Singh R (17) who have recorded a MetS prevalence of 19.2% and 36.6% respectively among obese group.
In the present study, significant statistical difference was observed among male cases and controls for Systolic and Diastolic Blood Pressure, FPG, Serum TG and Serum HDL.

In this study, significant statistical difference was observed among female cases and controls for Systolic and Diastolic Blood Pressure and Serum HDL. No statistical difference was observed for FPG and Serum TG.

The results of this present study indicate that young adults with increased waist circumference are strongly associated with Metabolic Syndrome compared with age matched contemporary controls.

Accumulation of visceral fat as seen in obese subjects is characterized by a relatively high lipid turnover which may result in higher levels of free fatty acids in the portal circulation. This, in turn, may contribute to the development of individual components of the MetS, for example, enhanced lipid synthesis, gluconeogenesis, and insulin resistance. Furthermore, intra-abdominal fat correlates positively with activation of the sympathetic nervous system, which may further enhance free fatty acid release into portal circulation. Sympathetic activation may also contribute to the effects of Blood Pressure through its effects on vasculature and renal handling of sodium and water.

The worldwide increase in the prevalence of obesity in the recent decades is startling and is likely a cause of the rising incidence of insulin resistance and the MetS as well as CVD and T2DM. The combination of obesity, physical inactivity, and consumption of an atherogenic diet is believed to lead to insulin resistance.

Beck-Nielsen and Groop put forth a three-stage hypothesis in the development of T2DM. Stage 1: includes normal or slightly increased blood glucose with fasting hyperinsulinemia. Stage 2: is characterized by prediabetic glucose intolerance with insulin resistance, and Stage 3: is development of T2DM. Unfortunately, many of the macro vascular variations in association with T2DM and CVA begin in stages 1 and stage 2, quite before diagnosis is done. Thus early detection of MetS, there is a hope that appropriate lifestyle changes can prevent the future development of prediabetes or T2DM in the younger population.

Conclusion

This represents that there would be a serious threat to the current and future health of youth with central obesity. The metabolic syndrome and its many consequences, including CVD and T2DM, will continue to increase unless we can find ways to prevent obesity and thus MetS in young adults. This can be achieved through adopting the required healthy lifestyle changes.
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